

\$~31 to 40

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ W.P.(C) 945/2013 & C.M. No. 1792/2013  
THE INSTITUTION OF CIVIL ENGINEERS (INDIA) ..... Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja, Mr. Pramod Jalan &  
Ms. Chandrika Gupta, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR ..... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Abhishek Manchanda for  
Mr. Gaurav Sarin, Advs. for R-1/UOI  
Mr. Anil Soni, SC with  
Mr. Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-2.  
Ms. Isha Mital & Mr. Apoorv Kurup,  
Advs. for UGC

+ W.P.(C) 3239/2013 & C.M. No. 6125/2013  
THE INSTITUTION OF ELECTRONICS AND  
TELECOMMUNICATION ENGINEERS (IETE) ..... Petitioner  
Through: Mr. N.L. Bareja, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. .... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC for UOI.  
Mr. Anil Soni, SC with  
Mr. Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-2

+ W.P.(C) 3790/2013 & C.M. No. 7079/2013  
THE INSTITUTION OF ENGINEERS (INDIA) ..... Petitioner  
Through: None.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS ..... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, Adv. for  
R-1/UOI.  
Mr. Anil Soni, SC with  
Mr. Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-2.  
Ms. Isha Mital & Mr. Apoorv Kurup,  
Advs. for UGC.

+ W.P.(C) 1711/2015  
THE COUNCIL OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY (INDIA) &  
ANR ..... Petitioners  
Through: Mr. D. Bhattacharya, Adv. alongwith  
Petitioner in person  
versus

ALL INDIA COUNCIL FOR TECHNICAL EDUCATION & ANR  
..... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Jaswinder Singh, Adv. for R-1  
Mr. Anil Soni, SC with  
Mr. Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-2.

+ W.P.(C) 3479/2013, C.M. Nos. 6577/2013 & 13816/2013  
THE INDIAN INSTITUTION OF ENGINEERS (IIE) ..... Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Subhash Chand Budhiraja, Adv.  
versus

MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (MHRD)  
& ORS ..... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Anil Soni, SC with  
Mr. Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-2,  
Ms. Isha Mital & Mr. Apoorv Kurup,  
Adv. for UGC.  
Mr. Manish Mohan, CGSC for UOI

+ W.P.(C) 6226/2013 & C.M. Nos. 13635/2013, 17140/2016 &  
8792/2018  
INSTITUTION OF FIRE ENGINEERS(INDIA) ..... Petitioner  
Through: Mr. N.L. Bareja, Adv.  
versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR ..... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Anil Soni, SC with  
Mr. Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-2  
Mr.M.K. Bhardwaj, Adv. for  
Intervenor in & C.M. No.

No.8792/2018.

- + W.P.(C) 4830/2013 & C.M. No. 10954/2013  
AERONAUTICAL SOCIETY OF INDIA ..... Petitioner  
Through: Ms. Sonia A. Menon, Adv.  
versus  
UNION OF INDIA & ORS ..... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Manish Mohan, CGSC for UOI,  
Mr. Anil Soni, SC with  
Mr. Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-2
- + W.P.(C) 4865/2013  
THE INSTITUTION OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (IEE)  
..... Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Subhash Chand Budhiraja, Adv.  
versus  
UNION OF INDIA & OTHERS ..... Respondents  
Through: Ms. Ruchir Mishra, Adv. for UOI.  
Mr. Anil Soni, SC with  
Mr. Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-2.  
Ms. Isha Mital & Mr. Apoorv Kurup,  
Adv. for UGC
- + W.P.(C) 3154/2014 & C.M. No. 6569/2014  
INSTITUTION OF SURVEYORS ..... Petitioner  
Through: Ms. Nikita Salwan, Adv.  
versus  
UNION OF INDIA & ANR. .... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Bhagvan Swarup Shukla, CGSC  
for UOI.  
Mr. Anil Soni, SC with Mr. Abhinav  
Tyagi, Adv. for R-2
- + W.P.(C) 7840/2014 & C.M. Nos. 18404-18405/2014  
INSTITUTION OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS (INDIA)  
..... Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Priya Ranjan Roi, Ms. Amrita Rai

and Mr.Gopi Raman, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through:

Mr. Rajesh Gogna, CGSC for R-1.

Mr. Anil Soni, SC with

Mr. Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-2

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI**

**ORDER**

%

**17.05.2018**

On 07.03.2018, a statement was made by the learned counsel for the respondent/AICTE that a Committee appointed by the said respondent had already made certain proposals, which were pending consideration with the respondent/Union of India (UOI) and in these circumstances, this Court had directed the respondent/UOI to take an expeditious decision.

Today, learned counsel for the respondent/AICTE submits that the statement made, on 07.03.2018, was not correct and in fact the said Committee has been constituted only on 01.05.2018 and, therefore, there was no question of the Committee having given any proposal to the respondent/UOI at that point of time. He submits that the said statement was made under a bona fide mistake.

A perusal of the record shows that vide order dated 25.07.2017, this Court had specifically directed the respondent/AICTE to be ready with its policy guidelines on the subject that would have an impact on the petitioners' case, before the next date of hearing i.e. 15.11.2017. It is thus evident that the respondent/AICTE has finally constituted a committee only after almost 10 months of this Court's order dated 25.07.2017, which is highly unfortunate.

At this stage, learned counsel for the respondent/AICTE submits that

since the Committee has now been constituted and has also held its first meeting, the Committee will be requested to give its proposals in an expeditious manner, which will then be promptly forward the same to the respondent/UOI.

The respondent/AICTE is granted 8 weeks' time as a last opportunity to forward its proposal to the respondent/UOI. It is made clear that, in case, the Committee of the respondent/AICTE fails to forward the proposals to the respondent/UOI within 8 weeks, the concerned Director of respondent/AICTE will remain present in the Court on the next date. It is also made clear that in case the proposals from the respondent/AICTE are received by the respondent/UOI within the time granted, the respondent/UOI will then take a final decision on the same within four weeks and place the same on record.

List on 23.08.2018.

Interim orders to continue.

**REKHA PALLI, J**

**MAY 17, 2018**

gm